

TransPAC₃ update

Brent Sweeny GRNOC at Indiana University APAN 32 (Delhi), 25 August 2011





What is TransPAC?

- A high-performance connection between the North American research & education networks to the Asia-Pacific research & education networks in support especially of science research
- Partners are Global Research NOC at Indiana University (USA) and APAN-JP (Tokyo)
 - Joint, coordinated operation
 - Matching complementary circuits with mutual backup Transparent measur<u>ement and monitoring</u>
 - Lots of burstable capacity ("headroom") to support high-performance science flows
 - Support for leading-edge network technologies

TransPAC Tokyo-Los Angeles



What is TransPAC₃?

- A high-performance (10Gb/s Ethernet) connection between the North American research & education networks to the Asia-Pacific research & education networks in support especially of science research • Terminates in Tokyo and Los Angeles Tokyo end operated by APAN-JP Los Angeles end operated by Global Research NOC at Indiana University
- Funded by US National Science Foundation IRNC2 program (award OCI-0962973) for 2010-2014.

The new TransPAC₃ circuit

- Circuit provided by KDDI-America
- Uses *TGN-Pacific* cable system
- Unprotected (pre-emptable)
- Complementary (and with mutual backup) with JGN2+ Tokyo-LOSA circuit
- Terminates in KDDI POPs in Los Angeles, Tokyo
- Testing in March 2011, full turnup by 1 April

The new TransPAC₃ router

- TransPAC2 used a Juniper T-series router
- MX series allows native layer2 and layer3 functions, high-performance bridging or routing as needed
- A design goal for TransPAC₃ was to integrate dynamic circuit functions more deeply into the service—MX allows that.
- More heavily-instrumented for data-gathering (see John Hicks talk for details)

What are the significant differences between TransPAC₂ and TransPAC₃?

Before 2011 (TransPAC2): • Juniper T-series router OC192 (SONET) • 'tunneled' dynamic circuits, • option for added capacity static 'vlans' Dual-stack native ipv4, ipv6 • Native dynamic circuits • Netflow for ipv4, not ipv6

*New for TransPAC*₃: • Juniper MX router • 10GE (LAN-PHY) (>10G) as use justifies True dynamic circuit capability Dual-stack native v4/6 • Netflow for v4 <u>and</u> v6

Continuing services and functions

• Line-rate 10GE

- IOG Complementary backup connection to JGN2+
- 10G Connection to Pacific Wave exchange point
- IOG Connection to Internet, NLR, ESnet, other major R&E networks
- Continued connection to Internet "ION" DCN service
- Native, line-speed ipv4 and ipv6 routing and transport
- Monitoring and measurement of interfaces, traffic, flows (adding ipv6 netflow)

TransPAC connections

- I. TransPAC connection to Tokyo
 - APAN (AS7660)APAN path-matrix server
- 2. JGN
 - APAN (AS7660)
- 3. PacificWave exchange point North America:
 - CENIC (AS2153)

PNWGP (AS101) Internet2 (AS11537) NLR (AS19401) Ultralight (AS32361) NASA NREN (AS24) **Other Asia-Pacific:** REANNZ (AS38018) **Research tools:** Route-views (AS6447) **ARBOR** (AS22388)

TransPAC2 traffic levels (2008-Feb 2011) for 2010 (1-day samples): I/O max 7.4/6.3Gbs; avg 0.9/1.3Gbs

(using 1 day averages)

rtr.losa.transpac2.net--so-0/0/0.0 -- oc192 to APAN Tokyo XP Tue Jan 1 2008 00:00 to Tue 22 Feb 2011 00:00:00 CST

4 Feb 2008 08:00:00 CST



TransPAC2 traffic levels (last 12 months) (1-day samples): I/O max 1.6/9.1 Gbs; avg 0.6/1.0 Gbs

rtr.losa.transpac2.net--xe-0/0/0 -- 10GE to Tokyo XP Sun Aug 1 2010 00:00 to Mon 22 Aug 2011 00:00:00 IST



09:21:28 UIC 08/25/2011

A Peakflow^{*}SP

Peakflow SP Interface 'xe-0/0/0.259' ASNs (All)

This report shows the in, out, and total traffic for a selected interface, broken down by ASN. The traffic is a combination of peer and origin traffic.



| | Showing: Current | | | |
|-------------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| AS NAME | ASN | INPUT | OUTPUT | TOTAL |
| X APAN-JP | 7660 | 131.00 Mbps | 755.00 Mbps | 886.00 Mbps |
| ASNET | 9264 | 17.00 Mbps | 228.00 Mbps | 245.00 Mbps |
| X CNGI-BJIX-AS-AP | 23911 | 23.00 Mbps | 293.00 Mbps | 316.00 Mbps |
| X TEIN2-JP | 24287 | 5.23 Mbps | 258.00 Mbps | 263.23 Mbps |
| X RX-CERNET-BKB | 4538 | 23.00 Mbps | 174.00 Mbps | 197.00 Mbps |
| X TANET2-TW | 7539 | 5.25 Mbps | 139.00 Mbps | 144.25 Mbps |
| TEIN2-NORTH-AP | 24489 | 1.60 Mbps | 176.00 Mbps | 177.60 Mbps |
| CSTNET-AS-AP | 7457 | 19.00 Kbps | 126.00 Mbps | 126.02 Mbps |
| X NCU-TW | 18420 | 1.51 Mbps | 77.00 Mbps | 78.51 Mbps |
| X NTU-TW | 17716 | 2.72 Mbps | 59.00 Mbps | 61.72 Mbps |
| X ERX-TANET-ASN1 | 1659 | 7.15 Mbps | 50.00 Mbps | 57.15 Mbps |
| TEIN2-SG-AP | 24490 | 2.62 Mhore | 97.00 Mbor | 05 C2 Mbor |

Dynamic circuits

- TransPAC, with our APAN-JP partners, has supported layer2 'pseudowire' circuits over the TransPAC circuit since 2008.
- 2008-now we provide statically-created vlans (no support for true dynamic layer2 on the trans-Pacific backbone)
- In TransPAC3, the MX router will interoperate with IDC and dynamic-circuit software allowing circuits to be built and torn down dynamically, on demand and as needed
- Layer2 and layer3 coexist across the same JP-US path

Looking forward...

 Continued support for high-performance production networking

Add:

- Improved integration with dynamic 'lightpath' protocols e.g. ION
- Support for OpenFlow and other GENI experimental networks (probably *not* logical routers)
- Support for other NGI protocols as needed
- Better interaction with DICE, GLIF and other NGI/FIT efforts

Transpac supplementary projects

- Some support for PERN (Pakistan NREN) connection to APAN
 - Karachi-Singapore-Tokyo
 - Starting in mid-2008
- Some support for CERnet-led connection to North America
 - Starting in late 2011
 - National Science Foundation support for US contribution
 - CERnet 10Gbs connection to Los Angeles, planned to include both CERnet and CSTnet traffic

Part II: Telepresence technology introduction

Telepresence technology

- New technologies occasionally bring new network requirements
 - Of growing interest to the R&E networks recently is Cisco TelePresence, with hundreds of sites in R&E, growing steadily
- How does its technology affect our networks?

Telepresence technology

- Hierarchical in nature
 - Codec 'registers' with call manager
 - Call manager manages end systems, arranges for trunking and call 'routing' decisions
 - SIP signaling: TCP (UDP) port 5060
 - UDP media flows on ports 16384 32768
 - Deterministic paths—always follows trunk hierarchy

Hierarchy leads to 'exchanges'

- Several commercial exchanges
- Only one exchange today (so far) for R&E

Cisco Telepresence characteristics

• Very high quality and production values 1080p resolution Large screens (67" [170cm] or larger) High-quality audio Super-simple intuitive operation • Very high data-compression ~4-5 Mbs per screen, so data volume not large Therefore very sensitive to packet loss QoS may be indicated if there is loss Also sensitive to jitter and latency, working well in our high-performance networks

Cisco Telepresence in R&E

- APAN countries connected to R&E exchange:
 - China
 - Singapore
 - Thailand
 - Australia
- Other countries:



 Austria, UK, Canada, Slovakia, Holland, Brazil, Portugal, UAE

 In US: 53 state systems/universities/schools/labs, ~230 systems connected

2 connections to 7 commercial exchanges

Additional R&E exchanges

 While Telepresence systems worldwide can connect to a central global R&E exchange and work with each other, both media flows and support/'community' relationships suggest local connections are better

• Logical locations:

- Multiple locations in Asia, especially China
- Australia—announced for late 2011 (AARnet)
- Europe, multiple locations
- Latin America
- Africa
- Middle East

 Leverage existing NREN relationships to federate TP, highest possible function, provide mutual support

Thank you!